# Use of Administrative Databases to Support Disease Registries in Oncology: A Model in the Setting of Metastatic Breast Cancer in Italy

CLICON S.R.L. SOCIETÀ BENEFIT, HEALTH, ECONOMICS & OUTCOMES RESEARCH ISPOR EU, 12–15 November 2023, Copenhagen, Denmark

Perrone V<sup>1</sup>, Leogrande M<sup>1</sup>, Andretta M<sup>2</sup>, Arenare L<sup>3</sup>, Bacca M<sup>4</sup>, Barbieri A<sup>5</sup>, Bartolini F<sup>6</sup>, Brega A<sup>7</sup>, Cavaliere A<sup>8</sup>, Chinellato A<sup>9</sup>, Ciaccia A<sup>10</sup>, Cillo MR<sup>11</sup>, Dell'Orco S<sup>12</sup>, Ferrante F<sup>13</sup>, Gentile S<sup>14</sup>, Grego S<sup>15</sup>, Lombardi R<sup>10</sup>, Mancini D<sup>4</sup>, Mensurati M<sup>16</sup>, Moscogiuri R<sup>17</sup>, Mosele E<sup>18</sup>, Pagliaro R<sup>19</sup>, Procacci C<sup>20</sup>, Re D<sup>21</sup>, Russo C<sup>22</sup>, Ubertazzo L<sup>23</sup>, Vercellone A<sup>24</sup>, Degli Esposti L<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CliCon S.r.I. Società Benefit Health, Economics & Outcomes Research, Bologna, Italy; <sup>4</sup>ASL Brindisi, Italy; <sup>5</sup>ASL Vercelli, Italy; <sup>6</sup>USL Umbria 2, Terni, Italy; <sup>7</sup>S.C. Farmaceutica Azienda Socio Sanitaria Ligure N. 4, Chiavari, Italy; <sup>8</sup>ASL Viterbo, Viterbo, Viterbo, Italy; <sup>19</sup>Azienda ULSS 3 Serenissima, Mestre (VE), Italy; <sup>11</sup>ASL Salerno, Salerno, Italy; <sup>12</sup>ASL Roma 6, Albano Laziale, Italy; <sup>13</sup>ASL Frosinone, Frosinone, Italy; <sup>14</sup>Direzione Generale per la Salute Regione Molise, Campobasso, Italy; <sup>15</sup>ASL 3 Genovese, Genova, Italy; <sup>17</sup>ASL Taranto, Italy; <sup>18</sup>UOC Assistenza Farmaceutica Territoriale Azienda ULSS 7 Pedemontana, Bassano del Grappa (VI), Italy; <sup>19</sup>ASL Roma 5, Tivoli, Italy; <sup>20</sup>Dipartimento Farmaceutico ASL BAT, Trani, Italy; <sup>21</sup>ASL Teramo, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy; <sup>22</sup>UOC Farmacia Territoriale, ASP Enna, Italy; <sup>24</sup>ASL Napoli 3 SUD, Torre del Greco, Italy



Recent evidence published in national and international literature shows how data collected in administrative databases could be used for cancer research purposes to supplement and support information from pathology registries.

**OBJECTIVES:** This analysis presents a model of implementation of this goal in breast cancer (BC) setting aimed at estimating and describing the epidemiology, distribution of molecular profiles, stage of disease, treatment patterns and the related outcomes in the real clinical practice.



#### **DATA SOURCE AND PATIENTS**

- The analysis was conducted using the administrative flows of Italian entities of the project STREAM (Supporting with The Real-world Evidence the Assessment of Medicines and Health-*Technology*) with data available from 2009 to 2023 covering around 4 million women. Specifically, data were extracted to analyse the following parameters: stratification by BC subtype, presence of metastatic status, treatment patterns and disease progression.
- As described in **Figure 1**, the analysis was then focused on patients diagnosed with BC in metastatic status carrying the receptor subtype HR+/HER2- (HR+/HER2- mBC) included from January 2017 to March 2022.
- For HR+/HER2- patients identified between 2017 and March 2022, treatment patterns and disease progression (in terms of occurrence of a new metastasis or death) were investigated. TIMEPOINTS OF THE ANALYSIS

Study period: from January 2009 to March 2023.

**Inclusion period:** from January 2017 to March 2022.

**Index date:** date of the first metastasis detection during the inclusion period.

**Characterization period:** all the available period before the index date, of at least 12 months of data availability.

#### DETAILED METHODOLOGY FOR IDENTIFYING THE STUDY **POPULATION: PATIENTS WITH DIAGNOSIS OF HR+/HER2- MBC**

- > The diagnosis of BC was identified by the flow of hospitalizations (by the presence of primary or secondary hospital discharge diagnosis with the ICD-9-CM codes: 193-233.0-238.3) and by pharmaceutical database through the presence of drugs indicated for BC.
- **Receptor subtype** identification was proxied by the presence/absence of drugs tracing HR and HER2 status.
- **Metastases** were identified through the flow of hospitalizations (by the presence of primary or secondary hospital discharge diagnosis with the ICD-9-CM codes: 196-198) and by the presence of drugs indicated for metastatic status (mBC).

Follow up period: all the available period after the index date, of at least 12 months of data availability.

**RESULTS** 

#### **1. EPIDEMIOLOGY**

- > HR+/HER2- mBC subtype was found in 70-74% of mBC patients identified, consistent with literature (66-75%).<sup>1-4</sup>
- > The estimated prevalence in 2021 was 115/100,000 women (**Figure 2**).
- > Even in the absence of direct comparisons at national and international level, these findings appear to be consistent with published scientific evidence. Starting with Globocan data,<sup>5</sup> that reported a prevalence of BC of 719/100,000 women in Italy during year 2020, considering the frequency of 70-74% for HR+/HER2- and that the metastatic form occurs in 8-30% of the cases, the epidemiological range can be expected to range between 42 and 160 x 100,000 women.

#### **2. TREATMENT PATTERNS**

Among patients with at least one first-line metastatic therapy, 61% underwent second-line, 37% third-line therapy during the entire available follow-up (**Figure 3**).

년 1 <sup>st</sup> line of treatment	2 <sup>nd</sup> line of treatment	<b>B</b> 3 <sup>rd</sup> line of treatment
່ <sub>ວ່</sub> (1L)	ຊ ຜູ່ (2L)	ູ່ (3L)
뗥 N=4,803	H = 1.935	별 N=1,817
$\sim$		+ (37% of 1)

#### **3. DISEASE PROGRESSION**

Disease progression was observed in 35% of the cases, on average (± SD) after 11.9 ± 13.2 months from metastasis detection (**Figure 4**).

Time to progression was defined as time (in months) from index date (date of the first metastasis) the date of a subsequent to metastasis different from the first at index date or the date of death.

**Figure 1.** Detailed methodology for the selection of patients in analysis.



**Figure 2.** Estimated prevalence of HR+/HER2- mBC in the study population (year 2021).





**Figure** 3. Details of treatment patterns in HR+/HER2- mBC patients.

Figure 4. Progression free survival (PFS) in HR+/HER2mBC patients.

MEDIAN SURVIVAL TIME, MONTHS				NUMBER OF EVENTS				
	Ν	Median	95% CI		Censored	%	Progressed	%
HR+/HER2- mBC	4,892	Not reached	[]	HR+/HER2- mBC	3,185	65.1	1,707	34.9

## References

- 1. I numeri del cancro in Italia AIOM (Associazione Italiana di Oncologia Medica) 2022. Available from: https://www.aiom.it/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/2022\_AIOM\_NDC-web.pdf
- 2. Tagliabue G et al. Molecular Subtypes, Metastatic Pattern and Patient Age in Breast Cancer: An Analysis of Italian Network of Cancer Registries (AIRTUM) Data. J Clin Med. 2021 Dec 14;10(24):5873.
- *3. Crocetti E et al. Female breast cancer subtypes in the Romagna Unit of the Emilia-Romagna cancer registry, and estimated* incident cases by subtypes and age in Italy in 2020. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2023 Aug; 149(10):7299-304.
- 4. Simon J et al. Survival in patients with HR+/HER2- metastatic breast cancer treated with initial endocrine therapy versus initial chemotherapy. A French population-based study. Br J Cancer. 2020 Sep;123(7):1071-7.
- 5. Globocan 2020-Italy. Disponibile su: https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/populations/990-eu-27-fact-sheets.pdf

### CONCLUSIONS

This evidence generated in BC setting shows how administrative databases can be used to estimate the epidemiology and describe real-life clinical practice in oncology area, to support the information of pathology registries.